In Proceedings of the 9th ACM/IEEE International Conference on Cyber-Physical Systems (ICCPS 2018)

# Guaranteed Physical Security with Restart-Based Design for Cyber-Physical Systems

Fardin Abdi\*, Chien-Ying Chen\*, Monowar Hasan\*, Songran Liu<sup>†</sup>, Sibin Mohan\*, and Marco Caccamo\*

> \* University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, USA † Northeastern University, China





#### Motivation



- Faults are a norm
- Fault-tolerant designs for safety-critical systems



- Attacks have the same effect
- What about safety in presence of security attacks?



# Focus of Existing Security Research

- Building attack proof sub-components e.g., TrustZone
- Identifying vulnerabilities
- Runtime monitoring and detection
- Integrity preservation





### This Work

• Offering a methodology for guaranteeing critical safety invariants of a physical system, in presence of an attacker.





### Secure Execution Interval (SEI)

• During SEI we can trust that the system is going to execute uncompromised software and adversary cannot interfere with the system in any way.



# Restart-Based Recovery

In this work, restarting the system and reloading uncompromised software triggers the SEI.

- Deterministic in terms of time and state.
- Reclaims stale resources e.g., memory, file pointers.
- External timer issues the restart command



## Admissible States

- States that do not violate any of the operational constraints of the physical plant are referred to as admissible states and denoted by S.
- Safety Invariant:

• System must always remain inside Admissible States:  $\forall t : x(t) \in S$ 





### Recoverable States and Safety Controller

• Defined with regards to a given Safety Controller (SC) and are denoted by R. R is a subset of S such that if the given SC starts controlling system from  $x \in R$ , all future states will remain admissible.





## Intuition Behind Guaranteed Safety

- I. Due to physical inertia, the plant cannot immediately move to an inadmissible state.
- II. SEI activations are separated such that the SC can stabilize the plant at the beginning of the following SEI.





True Recoverable States:

#### $\mathcal{T} = \{ x \mid \exists \alpha > 0 : \mathsf{Reach}_{\leq \alpha}(x, SC) \subseteq \mathcal{S} \& \mathsf{Reach}_{=\alpha}(x, SC) \subseteq \mathcal{R} \}$





If from a given state, we could calculate the shortest time to unsafety





If from a given state, we could calculate the shortest time to unsafety

$$\gamma(x) = \min \left\{ \Delta(x, x') \mid \text{ for all } x' \notin \mathcal{T} \right\}$$

Then we could trigger SEI before  $\gamma(x)$  and system would remain safe.

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{Reach}_{\leq \gamma(x(t))-\epsilon}(x(t),UC) &\subseteq \mathcal{S} \\ \mathsf{Reach}_{=\gamma(x(t))-\epsilon}(x(t),UC) &\subseteq \mathcal{T} \end{split} \quad \text{where } \epsilon \to 0 \end{split}$$



 $\gamma(x) = \min \left\{ \Delta(x, x') \mid \text{ for all } x' \notin \mathcal{T} \right\}$ 

$$\begin{split} \operatorname{\mathsf{Reach}}_{\leq \gamma(x(t)) - \epsilon}(x(t), UC) &\subseteq \mathcal{S} \\ \operatorname{\mathsf{Reach}}_{= \gamma(x(t)) - \epsilon}(x(t), UC) &\subseteq \mathcal{T} \end{split} \quad \overset{\mathrm{W}}{=} \end{split}$$

where  $\epsilon \to 0$ 





# Real-Time Reachability

- "Real-Time Reachability for Verified Simplex Design", Stanley Bak, Taylor Johnson, Marco Caccamo, Lui Sha, 35th IEEE Real-Time Systems Symposium (RTSS 2014)





# Using Real-Time Reachability

Evaluate specific  $\lambda$ as restart time with fixed  $\alpha$ 

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{Reach}_{\leq\lambda}(x(t),UC) &\subseteq \mathcal{S} \\ \mathsf{Reach}_{=\lambda}(x(t),UC) &\subseteq \mathcal{T}_{\alpha} \end{split}$$

 $\begin{cases} \operatorname{\mathsf{Reach}}_{\leq \alpha}(\operatorname{\mathsf{Reach}}_{=\lambda}(x(t), UC), SC) \subseteq \mathcal{S} \\ \operatorname{\mathsf{Reach}}_{=\alpha}(\operatorname{\mathsf{Reach}}_{=\lambda}(x(t), UC), SC) \subseteq \mathcal{R} \end{cases} \end{cases}$ 



# Finding a Safe Restart Time During SEI

**FindRestartTime**( $x, \lambda_{candidate}$ ) 1: startTime = currentTime() 2: RangeStart = 0; 3: RangeEnd =  $\lambda_{candidate}$  /\*Initialize range of binary search for  $\lambda_r$ \*/ 4: while currentTime() - startTime  $< T_s$  do 5: if conditions of Equation (4) are true for  $\lambda_{\text{candidate}}$  then 6:  $\lambda_{\text{safe}} := \lambda_{\text{candidate}}$ 7: RangeStart =  $\lambda_{safe}$ ; RangeEnd =  $2 * \lambda_{safe}$  /\* increase the  $\lambda_{candidate} */$ 8: else 9: RangeEnd =  $\lambda_{candidate}$  /\* decrease the  $\lambda_{candidate}$  \*/ 10: end if  $\lambda_{\text{candidate}} := (\text{RangeStart} + \text{RangeEnd})/2$ 11: 12: end while 13: if  $\lambda_{\text{safe}} > \text{currentTime}()$  - startTime then  $\lambda_{\text{safe}} = \lambda_{\text{safe}} - (\text{startTime - currentTime}())$ 14: 15: return True,  $\lambda_{safe}$ 16: end if 17: return False, -

Algorithm 1: Find Restart Time



# Controller

#### • Main controller:

- ARM Cortex-A9 core of Xilinx's Zynq-7000
- FreeRTOS
- *Control Period: 20 ms*(50Hz)
- Full Reboot Time: 390ms
- Root Of Trust:
  - MSP430G2452 micro-controller
  - 16 bit internal timer





### Physical Plants



3 Degree of Freedom Helicopter

Not to crash to the surface of table



Warehouse Temperature Management (Hardware in the loop Simulation)

#### keep the temperature within the range of 20 and 30 degrees Celsius



# Attacks I: Killing the Controller

- Attacker killed the main controller task.
- The attack was activated at a random time after the end of SEI.
- Under this attack, the 3DOF helicopter always remained within the set of admissible states.



# Attack II: Malicious Inputs

- Replaced sensor readings of the system with corrupted values with the aim of destabilizing the plant
- The attack was activated at a random time after the end of SEI.
- Under this attack, the 3DOF helicopter always remained within the set of admissible states.



## Attack III:

- Active immediately after the SEI
- Replaces the original controller with a malicious process that turns off the fans of the helicopter forcing it to hit the surface.
- Under this attack, the 3DOF helicopter always remained within the set of admissible states.



#### Attack III:

Green: SEI

Red: Normal operation (In this case attacker)

White: System Reboot









(a) Projection of the state space into the plane  $\dot{\epsilon} = 0$ ,  $\dot{\rho} = 0$ ,  $\lambda = 0$ , and  $\dot{\lambda} = 0.3$ Radian/s









(b) Projection of the state space into the plane  $\dot{\epsilon} = -0.3 \text{Radian}/s$ ,  $\dot{\rho} = 0$ ,  $\lambda = 0$ , and  $\dot{\lambda} = 0.3 \text{Radian}/s$ 

#### Safe Restart Time III





# Impact on Availability of Controller

	Regions (From most	Avoil
	common to least Common)	Avall.
Temperature	$15 < T_O < 40$	
Control	$0 < T_O < 15 \text{ or } 40 < T_O < 60$	%99.9
System	$T_O <0 \text{ or } 60 < T_O$	
3DOF	$-\epsilon +   ho  < 0.1$ & $\epsilon < 0.2$ & $  ho  < \pi/8$	
Helicopter	$0.2 < -\epsilon +   ho  < 0.1$ & $0.2 < \epsilon < 0.3$	%64.3
Themeopher	& $\pi/8 <   ho  < \pi/6$	
	$-\epsilon +   ho  < 0.2$ & $0.3 < \epsilon$ & $\pi/6 <   ho $	



#### Thank you! abditag2@illinois.edu





13: Repeats the procedure from beginning (from Line 1)



